

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,475	08/19/2003	Robert A. Dunstan	P17354	6003
28062 BUCKLEY, M	7590 01/04/2008 (ASCHOFF & TALWALK	AR LLC	EXAM	INER
50 LOCUST A	VENUE		CAO, CHUN	
NEW CANAA	IN, C1 00840		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	
			MAIL DAȚE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			m		
Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)			
		10/643,475	DUNSTAN, ROBERT A.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chun Cao	2115		
- To Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication appeals	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOES OF THE MAILING THE M	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	october 2007.			
2a)⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Sin	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit				
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	of Claims				
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-6,8-10,12-16,20 and 21</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.			
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
·	im(s) is/are allowed.	·			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,8-10,12-14,16 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,15 and 21</u> is/are objected to.					
8)∐ Cla	im(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application	Papers		· ·		
	specification is objected to by the Examine				
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc				
	olicant may not request that any objection to the				
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •		
11)LI Ine	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12) <u></u> Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
a) <u></u>	ll b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
1.[_				
2.					
3	Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage		
* Coo	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	a.		
See	the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.		
Attachment(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

10/643,475 Art Unit: 2115

FINAL REJECTION

- 1. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 12-16 and 20-21 are presented for examination.
- 2. The text of those applicable section of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 12-14, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Peters (Peters), U.S. patent no. 6,516,421 in view of Forrest et al. (Forrest), U.S. patent no. 5,553,296

As per claim 1, Peters teaches a method, comprising:

establishing a first power policy associated with a system that places the system in a low- power state after a first pre-determined period of time [col. 1, lines 12-44; col. 5, lines 10-21];

receiving from a user an indication [logoff or shutdown] that the user is no longer using the system [col. 3, lines 49-50; col. 7, lines 38-40]; and

in response to the indication, establishing a second power policy associated with the system that places the system in the low-power state after a second pre-determined period of time, wherein the second pre-determined time is less than the first pre-determined time [col. 2, lines 43-51; col. 3, lines 27-30; lines 61-67; col. 7, line 41-col. 8, line 2; col. 8, lines 48-56; "automatic reducing inactivity periods"].

Peters does not explicitly teach that the indication is sent via a connection with the display unit.

However, Forrest teaches that an indication is sent via a connection with the display unit [fig. 2; col. 5, lines 38-55, emphasis added, user selects logoff/shutdown command via a touch screen display unit].

It would have been obvious for one of ordinal skill in the art to combine Peters and Forrest because the specify teaching of Park would improve functionality and performance of the Peters' system.

As per claim 2, Peters inherently teaches of continuing, after receiving the indication, to execute instruction to support one or more remote devices prior to establishing the second power policy [fig. 1; col. 2, lines 43-51; col. 3, lines 27-30; lines 61-67; col. 7, line 41-col. 8, line 2; col. 8, lines 48-56].

As per claim 3, Peters inherently teaches that the low-power state is associated with an advanced configuration and power interface low-power state [fig. 2; col. 5; lines 8-22].

As per claim 4, Peters teaches that the low-power state is associated with at least one of: (i) a global state, (ii) a device power state, (iii) a sleep state, (iv) a processor power state, and (v) a performance state [fig. 2; col. 5; lines 8-22].

As per claim 6, Peters teaches of saving the first power policy [col. 6, lines 45-67].

As per claim 8, Peters teaches of receiving from a user via the display unit a second indication that the user is again using the system [col. 6, lines 55-56]; and establishing the first policy associated with the system that places the system in the low-

power state after the first pre-determined period of time [col. 5, lines 30-35; col. 6, lines 13-41].

As per claim 9, Peters teaches that the system includes a processor and comprises at least one of: (i) a desktop personal computer; (ii) a mobile system, (iii) a workstation, (iv) a server, (v) a set top box, and (vi) a game system [fig. 1; col. 4, lines 45-49].

As per claim 10, Peters teaches that at least one of said receiving and aid establishing is performed by at least one of: (i) a software application, (ii) a hardware device, (iii) an operating system, (iv) a driver, and (v) a basic input/output system [col. 2, lines 43-51].

As per claim 12, Peters teaches that the first power policy is configurable by the user [col. 1, lines 36-37].

As per claim 13, Peters teaches that the first power policy is associated with operating system power management [col. 5; lines 8-22].

As to claim 14, claim 14 basically is the corresponding elements that are carried out the method of operating steps in claim 1. Accordingly, claim 14 is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

As to claim 16, Peters teaches the claimed method of steps. Therefore, Peters teaches the claimed storage medium stored instructions to carry out the method of steps.

As to claim 20, claim 20 basically is the corresponding elements that are carried out the method of operating steps in claim 1. Accordingly, claim 20 is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5, 15 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2007, which have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and

10/643,475

Art Unit: 2115

any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun Cao whose telephone number is 571-272-3664. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dec 31, 2007

CHUN CAO
PRIMARY EXAMINER